

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	. 1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/827,772		04/06/2001	David Girouard	VIRAGE.028A	7368
20995	7590	06/20/2005		EXAM	NER
		NS OLSON &	BAROT, BHARAT		
2040 MAIN FOURTEE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
IRVINE, C	CA 92614	1		2155	
				DATE MAILED: 06/20/2005	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	4
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/827,772	GIROUARD ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Bharat N. Barot	2155
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover she	eet with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, it ion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum period will apply and will expire SIX (6 a statute, cause the application to become the statute.	may a reply be timely filed n of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	31 January 2005.	
	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for a		matters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice ur	•	• •
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the applic	ection	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi		n
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	indrawn nom consideration	11.
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requiremen	nt
	and/or creditori requiremen	11.
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Example 1		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)] accepted or b)☐ objecte	ed to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection	= , ,	. ,
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the o		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by t	he Examiner. Note the atta	ached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a laim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:	oreign priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 Certified copies of the priority docu 	ments have been received	i .
2. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received	in Application No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	e priority documents have	been received in this National Stage
application from the International E	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies	s not received.
•		
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Inter	view Summary (PTO-413)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-943) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	SB/08) 5) 🔲 Notic	er No(s)/Mail Date ce of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) er:
S. Patent and Trademark Office TOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	fice Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20050610

Application/Control Number: 09/827,772 Page 2

Art Unit: 2155

RESPONSE TO AMENDMENT

1. Claims 1-23 remain for further examination.

The new grounds of rejection

2. Applicants' amendments and arguments/remarks with respect to claims 1-23 filed on January 31, 2005 have been fully considered but they are deemed to be moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Drawings

3. This application has been filed with informal drawings, which are acceptable for examination purposes only. Formal drawings will be required when the application is allowed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112: The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 2155

Claim 1 contains the limitations "... configured to receive the video content" which is unclear about the receiving from where and "... provided encoded video to a user" which is unclear about previous action of the user; therefore, the links are missing between three major limitations (owner facility, server facility, and distribution network).

Claim 1 recites a limitation "video" in lines 13-14. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim 1.

Claim 11 is missing the media content source and contains a limitation

"... providing media content to a user" which is unclear about previous action of the user; therefore, the links are missing between three major limitations (owner facility, server facility, and distribution network).

Claim 17 is missing the source and destination of the video content and also missing the source and destination the video elements and contain a limitation "... delivering the encoded video to a user via a network" which is unclear about previous action of the user. Claim 17 lines 8-10 unclear about the locations of the elements.

Claim 23 recites a limitation "the server facility network server" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claims 17 and 23.

As a result, the above remarks make claims 1, 11, and 17 indefinite.

Other dependent claims, which are not specifically cited above are also rejected because of the deficiencies of their respective parent claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

- 7. Claims 11-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Jain et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,567,980). Jain's patent meets all the limitations for claims 11-23 recited in the claimed invention.
- 8. As to claim 11, Jain et al disclose a system for delivering media content services over a network (figure 1; column 2 lines 5-39; and column 3 line 50 to column 4 line 9), comprising: media content to which a content owner has rights (figure 7; and column 7 lines 23-34); a content owner facility comprising a content owner network server (figure 1; and column 4 lines 5-18); a service facility comprising; a video processing module configured to extract metadata from the media content and encode the media content (figure 1; and column 4 lines 1-18); and a hosted video application module configured to provide video elements for display on the content owner facility network site (figures 6-7

Art Unit: 2155

and 11-12; column 6 line 40 to column 7 line 36; and column 10 line 50 to column 11 line 50); and a content distribution network providing media content to a user (figure 1; column 3 lines 50-55; and column 12 line 60 to column 13 line 28).

- 9. As to claim 12, Jain et al disclose that the content owner facility further comprises a network server (figure 1; and column 4 lines 5-18).
- 10. As to claims 13-14, Jain et al disclose that the network is the Internet (column 1 lines 60-63; and column 5 lines 53-60); and the network server is a web server (figures 15-16; and column 12 line 60 to column 14 line 25).
- 11. As to claims 15-16, Jain et al disclose that the video elements include HTML instructions; and the media content is encoded video content (figures 1 and 15; column 3 line 50 to column 4 line 18; and column 12 line 60 to column 13 line 33).
- 12. As to claims 17-23, they are also rejected for the same reasons set forth to rejecting claims 11-16 above, since claims 17-23 are merely a method of operation for the apparatus defined in the claims 11-16. Additionally, Jain et al teach that storing the video index in a database (figure 7; and column 7 lines 15-36); providing search results information (figures 16-17; and column 13 line 35 to column 15 line 10); and managing the service facility network server via an administration module (figures 7, 9, and 15; column 9 lines 9-30; and column 12 line 60 to column 13 line 11).

Art Unit: 2155

Allowable Subject Matter

13. Claims 1-10 are allowable over the cited prior art of record.

Contact Information

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bharat Barot whose telephone number is (571) 272-3979. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne, can be reached at (571) 272-4001.

Any inquiry of general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Patent Examiner Bharat Barot

Art Unit 2155

June 10, 2005

Bharat Barot BHARAT BAROT DRIMARY EXAMINER